

1. (6.5 from M+S) $y_{ij} | a_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} N(\mu + a_i, \sigma^2) \quad i=1, \dots, m$
 $a_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} N(0, \sigma_a^2) \quad j=1, \dots, n$

Find a $100(1-\alpha)\%$ CI for $\rho = \frac{\sigma_a^2}{\sigma_a^2 + \sigma^2}$

The ANOVA table for this design is

<u>Source</u>	<u>SS</u>	<u>d.f</u>	<u>MS</u>	<u>E(MS)</u>
Groups	SS_{Groups}	$m-1$	$SS_{\text{Groups}}/(m-1)$	$n\sigma_a^2 + \sigma^2$
<u>Error</u>	<u>SS_E</u>	<u>$nm-m$</u>	$SS_E/(nm-m)$	σ^2
Total (Corrected)	SS_T	$nm-1$		

Where $SS_T = \sum_i \sum_j (y_{ij} - \bar{y}_{..})^2$, $SS_{\text{Groups}} = \sum_i \sum_j (y_{i.} - \bar{y}_{..})^2$

and $SS_E = SS_T - SS_{\text{Groups}}$

It can be shown that $\frac{SS_E}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2(nm-m)$,

$\frac{SS_{\text{Groups}}}{\sigma^2 + n\sigma_a^2} \sim \chi^2(m-1)$

and $SS_E + SS_{\text{Groups}}$ are independent

$$\text{Therefore } MS_{\text{Groups}} \sim (\sigma^2 + n\sigma_a^2) \frac{\chi^2(m-1)}{m-1}$$

$$MSE \sim \sigma^2 \frac{\chi^2(nm-m)}{nm-m}$$

$\Rightarrow MS_{\text{Groups}}, MSE$ are indep.

$$\Rightarrow \frac{MS_{\text{Groups}}}{MSE} \sim \frac{\sigma^2 + n\sigma_a^2}{\sigma^2} F(m-1, nm-m)$$

$$= 1 + n\Theta \quad \text{where } \Theta = \frac{\sigma_a^2}{\sigma^2} = \frac{\rho}{1-\rho}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{MS_{\text{Groups}}/MSE}{1+n\Theta} \sim F(m-1, nm-m)$$

$$\Rightarrow P\left(F_{\alpha/2}(m-1, nm-m) \leq \frac{MS_{\text{Groups}}/MSE}{1+n\Theta} \leq F_{1-\alpha/2}(m-1, nm-m)\right) = 1-\alpha$$

$$\Rightarrow P\left(F_{\alpha/2}(m-1, nm-m)^{-1} \frac{MS_{\text{Groups}}}{MSE} \geq 1+n\Theta \geq F_{1-\alpha/2}(m-1, nm-m)^{-1} \frac{MS_{\text{Groups}}}{MSE}\right) = 1-\alpha$$

$$\Rightarrow P(U \geq \Theta \geq L) = 1-\alpha \quad \text{where } U = \frac{1}{n} \left[F_{\alpha/2}(m-1, nm-m)^{-1} \frac{MS_{\text{Groups}}}{MSE} - 1 \right]$$

$$\text{and } L = \frac{1}{n} \left[F_{1-\alpha/2}(m-1, nm-m)^{-1} \frac{MS_{\text{Groups}}}{MSE} - 1 \right]$$

Since $\rho = \frac{\Theta}{1+\Theta}$, \therefore 95% CI for Θ can be transformed to a 95% CI for ρ as follows:

$$\left[\frac{L}{1+L}, \frac{U}{1+U} \right]$$

2. (6.6 in M+S) Write the following models in matrix notation + determine $E(y)$, $\text{var}(y)$

a) $y_{ij} | a_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(\mu + a_i + \beta_j, \sigma^2)$ $i=1, \dots, m$
 $a_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(0, \sigma_a^2)$ $j=1, \dots, n$

$\Rightarrow y_{ij} = \mu + a_i + \beta_j + \epsilon_{ij}$ where $\epsilon_{ij} \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(0, \sigma^2)$
 $a_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(0, \sigma_a^2)$

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_{11} \\ \vdots \\ y_{1n} \\ y_{21} \\ \vdots \\ y_{2n} \\ \vdots \\ y_{m1} \\ \vdots \\ y_{mn} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \\ \vdots & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \beta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_n \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & \dots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_m \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_{11} \\ \vdots \\ \epsilon_{1n} \\ \epsilon_{21} \\ \vdots \\ \epsilon_{2n} \\ \vdots \\ \epsilon_{m1} \\ \vdots \\ \epsilon_{mn} \end{pmatrix}$$

$= (\underline{1}_n, \underline{j}_m \otimes \underline{1}_n), N=nm = \underline{I}_m \otimes \underline{I}_n$

or $\underline{y} = \underline{X}\underline{\beta} + \underline{Z}\underline{a} + \underline{\epsilon}$

$E(y_{ij}) = \mu + \beta_j, \text{var}(y_{ij}) = \sigma_a^2 + \sigma^2$

$\text{cov}(y_{ij}, y_{i'j'}) = \begin{cases} \sigma_a^2, & i=i', j=j' \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

$$\Rightarrow \text{var}(\underline{y}) = \text{blockdiag}(\sigma_a^2 \mathbf{J} + \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}, \dots, \sigma_a^2 \mathbf{J} + \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}) = \mathbf{I}_m \otimes (\sigma_a^2 \mathbf{J}_n + \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_n)$$

$$b) \quad y_{ij} | a_i, b_j \stackrel{\text{ind}}{\sim} N(\mu + a_i + b_j, \sigma^2)$$

$$a_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} N(0, \sigma_a^2), \quad b_j \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} N(0, \sigma_b^2)$$

$\xleftarrow{\text{indep.}}$

Can be written as $y_{ij} = \mu + a_i + b_j + \varepsilon_{ij}$
 where $\varepsilon_{ij} \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} N(0, \sigma^2)$ independent of a_i 's, b_j 's
 as given above.

Let $\underline{y}, \underline{\varepsilon}$ be as in part (a). Then model in
 matrix form is

$$\underline{y} = \underline{X}\underline{\beta} + \underline{Z}\underline{b} + \underline{\varepsilon}, \text{ where } \underline{X} = \underline{j}_N, \quad N = nm$$

$$\underline{\beta} = \mu, \quad \underline{Z} = (\mathbf{I}_m \otimes \underline{j}_n, \underline{j}_m \otimes \mathbf{I}_n), \quad \underline{b} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_m \\ b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_n \end{pmatrix}$$

$$E(\underline{y}) = \underline{X}\underline{\beta} = \mu \underline{j}_N, \quad \text{var}(\underline{y}) = \text{var}(\underline{Z}_1 \underline{b}_1 + \underline{Z}_2 \underline{b}_2 + \underline{\varepsilon})$$

$$\text{where } \underline{Z}_1 = (\mathbf{I}_m \otimes \underline{j}_n), \quad \underline{Z}_2 = \underline{j}_m \otimes \mathbf{I}_n, \quad \underline{b}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_m \end{pmatrix}, \quad \underline{b}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_n \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{var}(\underline{y}) = \sigma_a^2 \underline{Z}_1 \underline{Z}_1^T + \sigma_b^2 \underline{Z}_2 \underline{Z}_2^T + \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_N$$

$$\underline{Z}_1 \underline{Z}_1^T = (\mathbf{I}_m \otimes \underline{j}_n) (\mathbf{I}_m \otimes \underline{j}_n)^T = (\mathbf{I}_m \otimes \underline{j}_n) (\mathbf{I}_n^T \otimes \underline{j}_n^T) = (\mathbf{I}_m \otimes \underline{j}_n \underline{j}_n^T) = \mathbf{I}_m \otimes \mathbf{I}_n$$

similarly,

$$\underline{Z}_2 \underline{Z}_2^T = (\underline{j}_m \otimes \mathbf{I}_n)$$

c) $y_{ijk} | a_i, g_{ij} \overset{\text{ind}}{\sim} N(\mu + a_i + \beta_j + g_{ij}, \sigma^2)$
 $a_i \overset{\text{iid}}{\sim} N(0, \sigma_a^2), g_{ij} \overset{\text{iid}}{\sim} N(0, \sigma_g^2), a_i, g_{ij} \text{ indep.}$
 $i=1, \dots, m, j=1, \dots, n, k=1, \dots, r$

Let $\underline{y} = (y_{111}, y_{112}, \dots, y_{11r}, y_{121}, \dots, y_{12r}, \dots, y_{m11}, \dots, y_{mnr})^T$
 similarly,

$\underline{\varepsilon} = (\varepsilon_{111}, \dots, \varepsilon_{mnr})^T$

Then model can be written as $\underline{y} = \underline{X}\underline{\beta} + \underline{Z}\underline{b} + \underline{\varepsilon}$
 where $\underline{X} = (\underline{j}_m \otimes \underline{j}_n \otimes (\underline{I}_n \otimes \underline{j}_r))$

$\underline{\beta} = \underline{j}_n (\mu, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)^T$

$\underline{Z} = (\underline{Z}_1, \underline{Z}_2), \underline{b} = (\underline{b}_1^T, \underline{b}_2^T)^T, \underline{Z}_1 = \underline{I}_m \otimes \underline{j}_n$

$\underline{Z}_2 = \underline{I}_{mn} \otimes \underline{j}_r, \underline{b}_1 = (a_1, \dots, a_m)^T, \underline{b}_2 = (g_{11}, \dots, g_{mn})^T$

$\text{Var}(\underline{y}) = \sigma_a^2 \underline{Z}_1 \underline{Z}_1^T + \sigma_g^2 \underline{Z}_2 \underline{Z}_2^T + \sigma^2 \underline{I}_N$

$\underline{Z}_1 \underline{Z}_1^T = (\underline{I}_m \otimes \underline{j}_n) (\underline{I}_m \otimes \underline{j}_n)^T = (\underline{I}_m \otimes \underline{j}_n) (\underline{I}_m \otimes \underline{j}_n^T)$
 $= (\underline{I}_m \otimes \underline{j}_n \underline{j}_n^T) = (\underline{I}_m \otimes \underline{J}_{n,n})$

similarly,

$\underline{Z}_2 \underline{Z}_2^T = (\underline{I}_{mn} \otimes \underline{J}_{r,r})$

$E(\underline{y}) = \underline{X}\underline{\beta} \quad (E(y_{ij}) = \mu + \beta_j)$

2. (6.8 in McCulloch et al.)

a) Let $w = K^T y$. With the substitutions given in (6.66), ~~(6.58)~~ becomes the log-likelihood becomes

$$\begin{aligned} l_1 &= -\frac{(n-s)}{2} \log(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2} \log |K^T V K| - \frac{1}{2} y^T K (K^T V K)^{-1} K^T y \\ &= -\frac{(n-s)}{2} \log(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2} \log |K^T V K| - \frac{1}{2} \underline{w}^T (K^T V K)^{-1} \underline{w} \end{aligned}$$

b) $l_2 \stackrel{**}{=} \text{constant} - \frac{1}{2} \log |V| - \frac{1}{2} \log |X^T V^{-1} X| - \frac{1}{2} y^T P y$

where

$$P = V^{-1} - V^{-1} X (X^T V^{-1} X)^{-1} X^T V^{-1}$$

show that the quadratic forms in y are the same in l_1 + l_2 .
It is sufficient to show

$$K (K^T V K)^{-1} K^T = P = V^{-1} - V^{-1} X (X^T V^{-1} X)^{-1} X^T V^{-1}$$

First note that $K (K^T K)^{-1} K^T = P_{C(X)}^\perp$
because $K^T K = I$ + $K K^T = P_{C(X)}$

Our model is $y \sim N(X\beta, V)$. It follows that

$$\tilde{y} \equiv V^{-1/2} y \sim N(V^{-1/2} X\beta, V^{-1/2} V V^{-1/2}) \\ = N(\tilde{X}\beta, I)$$

where $\tilde{X} = V^{-1/2} X$

If $K^T y$ is a vector of error contrasts for y then for $\tilde{K} = V^{1/2} K$, $\tilde{K}^T \tilde{y}$ is a vector of error contrasts for \tilde{y} because

$$E(\tilde{K}^T \tilde{y}) = \tilde{K}^T E(\tilde{y}) = \tilde{K}^T \tilde{X}\beta \\ = K^T V^{1/2} V^{-1/2} X\beta \\ = K^T X\beta = 0 \quad \forall \beta$$

$$\text{So } P_C(\tilde{X})^\perp = \tilde{K}(\tilde{K}^T \tilde{K})^{-1} \tilde{K}^T$$

$$\text{or } I - V^{-1/2} X(X^T V^{-1/2} V^{-1/2} X)^{-1} X^T V^{-1/2} = V^{1/2} K(K^T V^{1/2} V^{1/2} K)^{-1} K^T V^{1/2}$$

or, if we left multiply and right multiply both sides by $V^{-1/2}$ we get

$$V^{-1} - V^{-1} X(X^T V^{-1} X)^{-1} X^T V^{-1} = K(K^T V K)^{-1} K^T \quad \checkmark$$

6.8 (c) If V is a function of t , write $\partial V / \partial t$ as V_t . Show that $\partial l_1 / \partial t = \partial l_2 / \partial t$

Proof: Since $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{\partial A^{-1}}{\partial s} = -A^{-1} \frac{\partial A}{\partial s} A^{-1} \\ \frac{\partial \log |A|}{\partial s} = \text{tr} \left(A^{-1} \frac{\partial A}{\partial s} \right) \end{array} \right.$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial l_1}{\partial t} &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \log |K^T V K|}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial Q_1}{\partial t} \quad \text{where } Q_1 = y^T K (K^T V K)^{-1} K^T y \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[(K^T V K)^{-1} K^T \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} K \right] - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial Q_1}{\partial t} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[K (K^T V K)^{-1} K^T \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} \right] - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial Q_1}{\partial t} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[K (K^T V K)^{-1} K^T V_t \right] - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial Q_1}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{2} \text{tr} (A V_t) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial Q_1}{\partial t} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial l_2}{\partial t} &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \log |V|}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \log |X^T V^{-1} X|}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial Q_2}{\partial t} \quad \text{where } Q_2 = y^T P y \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left(V^{-1} \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[(X^T V^{-1} X)^{-1} \left(-X^T V^{-1} \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} V^{-1} X \right) \right] - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial Q_2}{\partial t} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left(V^{-1} V_t \right) + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[V^{-1} X (X^T V^{-1} X)^{-1} X^T V^{-1} \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} \right] - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial Q_2}{\partial t} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[V^{-1} V_t - V^{-1} X (X^T V^{-1} X)^{-1} X^T V^{-1} V_t \right] - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial Q_2}{\partial t} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[\left(V^{-1} - V^{-1} X (X^T V^{-1} X)^{-1} X^T V^{-1} \right) V_t \right] - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial Q_2}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} (P V_t) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial Q_2}{\partial t} \end{aligned}$$

We have seen from part (b) that

$$A = K (K^T V K)^{-1} K^T = P = V^{-1} - V^{-1} X (X^T V^{-1} X)^{-1} X^T V^{-1}$$

and $Q_1 = Q_2$.

thus it follows that $\frac{\partial l_1}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial l_2}{\partial t}$

(6.10 in Mars) Derive ML + REML Solutions for the following models

a) $E(y_{ij}) = \mu, \quad V = \sigma^2 I_n \quad (i=1, \dots, m, j=1, \dots, n)$

Here, $X\beta = \mu \mathbf{1}_N, \quad \theta = \sigma^2$
 $\beta \quad \uparrow \quad C_X$

The REML Estimating equation for θ is given on the top of p. 84 of the notes with the ML EE is given on p. 73 (0)

For these equations, we need $\frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta^2} = I_n$

$$\beta_{OLS} = (X^T (\sigma^2 I)^{-1} X)^{-1} X^T (\sigma^2 I)^{-1} y = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T y = \begin{cases} \beta_{OLS} \\ y_{..} \end{cases}$$

$$Q = (\sigma^2 I)^{-1} \left[I - X (X^T (\sigma^2 I)^{-1} X)^{-1} X^T (\sigma^2 I)^{-1} \right]$$

← full rank so = to regular inverse

$$= \sigma^{-2} \left[I - X (X^T X)^{-1} X^T \right] = \sigma^{-2} \left[I - \mathbf{1}_N (\mathbf{1}_N^T \mathbf{1}_N)^{-1} \mathbf{1}_N^T \right]$$

$$= \sigma^{-2} \left[I - \frac{1}{N} J_{N,N} \right]$$

Now the REML E.E. for σ^2 is

$$\frac{1}{2} (y - y_{..} \mathbf{1}_N)^T (\sigma^2 I)^{-1} I (\sigma^2 I)^{-1} (y - y_{..} \mathbf{1}_N)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left(\sigma^{-2} \left[I - \frac{1}{N} J_{N,N} \right] I \right)$$

Multiplying through by $2\sigma^4$, we get

$$(\underline{y} - \bar{y} \cdot \underline{j}_N)^T (\underline{y} - \bar{y} \cdot \underline{j}_N) = \sigma^2 \text{tr}(P_{C(X)^+})$$

or

$$\sum_i \sum_j (y_{ij} - \bar{y}_{..})^2 = \sigma^2 (N-1)$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\sigma}_{REML}^2 = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_i \sum_j (y_{ij} - \bar{y}_{..})^2$$

The ML equation for σ^2 is the same, w/ Q replaced by V^{-1}
 \Rightarrow the ML equation becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_i \sum_j (y_{ij} - \bar{y}_{..})^2 &= 2\sigma^4 \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left(V^{-1} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \sigma^2} \right) \\ &= \sigma^2 \text{tr}(I) = N\sigma^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\sigma}_{ML}^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i \sum_j (y_{ij} - \bar{y}_{..})^2$$

b.) $E(y_{ij}) = \mu + \alpha_i, \quad V = \sigma^2 I_N$

Now $X\beta = (\underline{j}_N, I_m \otimes \underline{j}_n) \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \alpha_1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_m \end{pmatrix}, \quad \theta = \sigma^2$

$\hat{\beta}_{\sigma^2} = \hat{\beta}_{OLS}$ again and $Q = \sigma^{-2} [I - X(X^T X)^- X^T]$
 $= \sigma^{-2} P_{C(X)^+}$ again

Therefore, the REML E.E. for σ^2 is

$$\frac{1}{2\sigma^4} (\underline{y} - X\hat{\beta}_{OLS})^T (\underline{y} - X\hat{\beta}_{OLS}) = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\sigma^{-2} P_{C(X)^+} I)$$

$$\text{or } (\underline{y} - \underline{X}\hat{\beta}_{OLS})^T (\underline{y} - \underline{X}\hat{\beta}_{OLS}) = \sigma^2 \text{tr}(P_C(\underline{X})) = \sigma^2 (N - \text{rank}(\underline{X})) \\ = \sigma^2 (N - m)$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\sigma}_{REML}^2 = \frac{1}{N-m} \sum_i \sum_j (y_{ij} - \bar{y}_{i.})^2$$

$$\text{since } \underline{X}\hat{\beta}_{OLS} = p(\underline{y} | C(\underline{X})) = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{y}_{1.} \cdot \underline{1}_n \\ \vdots \\ \bar{y}_{m.} \cdot \underline{1}_n \end{pmatrix}$$

Similarly, the ML E.E. for σ^2 ~~is~~ becomes

$$\sum_i \sum_j (y_{ij} - \bar{y}_{i.})^2 = 2\sigma^4 \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left(V^{-1} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \sigma^2} \right) \\ = \sigma^2 \text{tr}(\mathbf{I}_N) = \sigma^2 N$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\sigma}_{ML}^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i \sum_j (y_{ij} - \bar{y}_{i.})^2$$

$$d.) E(\underline{y}; \underline{\theta}) = \underline{\mu} + \beta X_i \theta, \quad V = \sigma^2 I_N$$

Here, $\underline{X}\underline{\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} \underline{1}_N, \underline{X} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \beta \end{pmatrix}$ where $\underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_N)^T$, $\underline{\theta} = \sigma^2$

As in parts (a) + (b), $\beta_{OLS} = \hat{\beta}_{OLS} \Rightarrow Q = \sigma^{-2} P_{C(X)} + I$
 $\frac{\partial Q}{\partial \sigma^2} = -I$

Therefore, the REML E.E. for σ^2 is

$$\frac{1}{2\sigma^4} (\underline{y} - \underline{X}\hat{\beta}_{OLS})^T (\underline{y} - \underline{X}\hat{\beta}_{OLS}) = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} (\sigma^{-2} P_{C(X)} + I)$$

$$\text{or } (\underline{y} - \underline{X}\hat{\beta}_{OLS})^T (\underline{y} - \underline{X}\hat{\beta}_{OLS}) = \sigma^2 (N - \text{rank}(X)) = \sigma^2 (N-2)$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\sigma}_{REML}^2 = \frac{\sum_i (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2}{N-2} = SSE / (N-2)$$

where \hat{y}_i is ~~the~~ $\hat{\mu} + \hat{\beta}x_i$ where $\hat{\mu}, \hat{\beta}$ are the usual OLS estimates of intercept + slope in a simple linear regression

Similarly, the ML E.E. for σ^2 becomes

$$SSE = 2\sigma^4 \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} (V^{-1} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \sigma^2}) = \sigma^2 \text{tr}(I_N) = \sigma^2 N$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\sigma}_{ML}^2 = SSE/N$$

(6.1 in Davis' book) $y_i = X\beta + \sum z_i + \varepsilon_i$ where

$$\underline{z} = \underline{1}_t, \quad x_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(0, \sigma^2), \quad \varepsilon_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(0, \sigma_e^2 \underline{I}_t)$$

↑ indep ↓

$$\text{var}(y_i) = \underline{z} \text{var}(x_i) \underline{z}^T + \text{var}(\varepsilon_i) = \underline{1}_t \sigma^2 \underline{1}_t^T + \sigma_e^2 \underline{I}_t$$

$$= \sigma^2 \underline{J}_{t,t} + \sigma_e^2 \underline{I}_t = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^2 + \sigma_e^2 & \sigma^2 & \sigma^2 & \dots & \sigma^2 \\ & \sigma^2 + \sigma_e^2 & \sigma^2 & \dots & \sigma^2 \\ & & \sigma^2 + \sigma_e^2 & \dots & \sigma^2 \\ & & & \dots & \sigma^2 \\ & & & & \sigma^2 + \sigma_e^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Symmetric

Additional
Problem #1:

Prove that $\text{cov}(C\hat{\beta}, \hat{b}) = \underline{0}$

$$\text{cov}(C\hat{\beta}, \hat{b}) = \text{cov}(C(X^T V^{-1} X)^{-1} X^T V^{-1} y, D \underline{z}^T P y)$$

$$= C(X^T V^{-1} X)^{-1} X^T V^{-1} \underbrace{\text{cov}(y, y)}_{=V} P \underline{z} D = C(X^T V^{-1} X)^{-1} X^T P \underline{z} D$$

$$= C(X^T V^{-1} X)^{-1} X^T V^{-1} \underline{z} D - C(X^T V^{-1} X)^{-1} X^T V^{-1} X (X^T V^{-1} X)^{-1} X^T V^{-1} \underline{z} D = (*)$$

Since $C\hat{\beta}$ is estimable, $C = AX$ for some A

therefore $(*) = C(X^T V^{-1} X)^{-1} X^T V^{-1} \underline{z} D - \underbrace{AX(X^T V^{-1} X)^{-1} X^T V^{-1} X}_{\text{a (non-orthogonal) projection matrix onto } C(X)}$ $(X^T V^{-1} X)^{-1} X^T V^{-1} \underline{z} D$

matrix onto $C(X)$, so
it projects X onto itself

$$\Rightarrow (*) = C(X^T V^{-1} X)^{-1} X^T V^{-1} \underline{z} D - \underbrace{AX(X^T V^{-1} X)^{-1} X^T V^{-1} \underline{z} D}_{=C} = \underline{0}$$

Additional Problem

#2:

Prove $\text{var}(\hat{\psi}) = (c^T \Phi c) [a^T (X^T X)^{-1} a]$ where $\hat{\psi} = \underline{a}^T \hat{\underline{B}} c$

$$\text{and } \hat{\underline{B}} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y = [(X^T X)^{-1} X^T y_1, \dots, (X^T X)^{-1} X^T y_t] = (\hat{\beta}_1, \dots, \hat{\beta}_t)$$

where y_i is i^{th} column of Y .

$$\hat{\psi} = \underline{a}^T (\hat{\underline{B}} c) = \underline{a}^T \sum_{j=1}^t c_j \hat{\beta}_j$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{var}(\hat{\psi}) = \underline{a}^T \text{var}\left(\sum_{j=1}^t c_j \hat{\beta}_j\right) \underline{a}$$

$$= \underline{a}^T \left[\sum_{j=1}^t \sum_{k=1}^t c_j c_k \text{cov}(\hat{\beta}_j, \hat{\beta}_k) \right] \underline{a}$$

$$= \underline{a}^T \left[\sum_j \sum_k c_j c_k (X^T X)^{-1} X^T \text{cov}(y_j, y_k) X (X^T X)^{-1} \right] \underline{a}$$

$$= \underline{a}^T (X^T X)^{-1} X^T \left[\sum_j \sum_k c_j c_k \underbrace{\text{cov}(y_j, y_k)}_{= \sigma_{jk} I} \right] X (X^T X)^{-1} \underline{a}$$

$\sigma_{jk} I$
 $\leftarrow j, k^{\text{th}}$ element of Φ

$$= \underline{a}^T (X^T X)^{-1} X^T \left[\sum_j \sum_k c_j c_k \sigma_{jk} \right] I X (X^T X)^{-1} \underline{a}$$

$$= \underline{a}^T (X^T X)^{-1} X^T \underbrace{c^T \Phi c}_{\text{a scalar}} X (X^T X)^{-1} \underline{a} = c^T \Phi c \underline{a}^T (X^T X)^{-1} X^T X (X^T X)^{-1} \underline{a}$$

3. Refer to p. 70 of the class notes. Show that $PVP = P$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}
 PVP &= (V^{-1} - V^{-1}X(X^TV^{-1}X)^{-1}X^TV^{-1})V(V^{-1} - V^{-1}X(X^TV^{-1}X)^{-1}X^TV^{-1}) \\
 &= (I - V^{-1}X(X^TV^{-1}X)^{-1}X^T)(V^{-1} - V^{-1}X(X^TV^{-1}X)^{-1}X^TV^{-1}) \\
 &= V^{-1} - V^{-1}X(X^TV^{-1}X)^{-1}X^TV^{-1} - V^{-1}X(X^TV^{-1}X)^{-1}X^TV^{-1} \\
 &\quad + V^{-1}X(X^TV^{-1}X)^{-1}X^TV^{-1}X(X^TV^{-1}X)^{-1}X^TV^{-1} \\
 &= V^{-1} - 2V^{-1}X(X^TV^{-1}X)^{-1}X^TV^{-1} + V^{-1}X(X^TV^{-1}X)^{-1}X^TV^{-1} \\
 &= V^{-1} - V^{-1}X(X^TV^{-1}X)^{-1}X^TV^{-1} \\
 &= P
 \end{aligned}$$

4. Refer to p. 60 of the class notes. Complete the proof of the theorem on this page by showing that $E[\{y_0 - m_{blp}(y)\}\{m_{blp}(y) - t(y)\}] = 0$

Proof:

$$m_{blp}(y) = \mu_{y_0} + (\gamma^*)^T(y - \mu_y) = \mu_{y_0} + V_{yy_0}^T V_{yy}^{-1} (y - \mu_y)$$

$$t(y) = \gamma_0 + \gamma^T y = \gamma_0 + \gamma^T y$$

$$E[\{y_0 - m_{blp}(y)\}\{m_{blp}(y) - t(y)\}]$$

$$= E[\{y_0 - \mu_{y_0} - V_{yy_0}^T V_{yy}^{-1} (y - \mu_y)\} \{ \mu_{y_0} + V_{yy_0}^T V_{yy}^{-1} (y - \mu_y) - \gamma_0 - \gamma^T y \}]$$

$$= E[\{(y_0 - \mu_{y_0}) - V_{yy_0}^T V_{yy}^{-1} (y - \mu_y)\} \{ (\mu_{y_0} - \gamma_0 - \gamma^T \mu_y) + V_{yy_0}^T V_{yy}^{-1} (y - \mu_y) - \gamma^T (y - \mu_y) \}]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= E[(y_0 - \mu_{y_0})(\mu_{y_0} - \gamma_0 - \gamma^T \mu_y)] - E[V_{yy_0}^T V_{yy}^{-1} (y - \mu_y)(\mu_{y_0} - \gamma_0 - \gamma^T \mu_y)] \\
 &\quad + E[(y_0 - \mu_{y_0})(V_{yy_0}^T V_{yy}^{-1} - \gamma^T)(y - \mu_y)] - E[V_{yy_0}^T V_{yy}^{-1} (y - \mu_y)(V_{yy_0}^T V_{yy}^{-1} - \gamma^T)(y - \mu_y)]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$= 0 - 0 + (V_{yy_0}^T V_{yy}^{-1} - \gamma^T) E[(y_0 - \mu_{y_0})(y - \mu_y)] - E[V_{yy_0}^T V_{yy}^{-1} (y - \mu_y)(y - \mu_y)^T (V_{yy_0}^T V_{yy}^{-1} - \gamma^T)]$$

$$= 0 + (V_{yy_0}^T V_{yy}^{-1} - \gamma^T) V_{yy_0} - V_{yy_0}^T V_{yy}^{-1} E[(y - \mu_y)(y - \mu_y)^T] (V_{yy_0}^T V_{yy}^{-1} - \gamma^T)^T$$

$$= 0 + V_{yy_0}^T V_{yy}^{-1} V_{yy_0} - \gamma^T V_{yy_0} - V_{yy_0}^T V_{yy}^{-1} V_{yy} (V_{yy}^{-1} V_{yy_0} - \gamma)$$

$$= V_{yy_0}^T \gamma - \gamma^T V_{yy_0}$$

$$= 0 \quad \uparrow \text{ a scalar.}$$